



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,809	04/13/2006	Avi Avramoff	30825	5244
7590 Martin D Moynihan Prtsi Inc PO Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			EXAMINER WINTERBERG, NISSA M	
			ART UNIT 1618	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/12/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/575,809

**Applicant(s)**

AVRAMOFF ET AL.

**Examiner**

Nissa M. Westerberg

**Art Unit**

1618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nissa M. Westerberg.(3) Dvorah Graeser.(2) Humera Sheikh.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO 96/24375, US 6210712, EP 1174136, US 2002/0155153.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments to the claims to recite lansoprazole as the sole active ingredient were discussed. Also discussed were differences between sodium stearate and magnesium stearate in relation to the various formulations and the effects on the final composition. Incorporation of limitations from the dependent claims into the independent claims (e.g., surfactants, a list of specific surfactants and/or sodium stearate) were also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Humera N. Sheikh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618